Magnetic Resonance Imaging was used to study changes in the crystalline lens and ciliary body with accommodation and aging. Monocular images were obtained in 15 young (19–29 years) and 15 older (60–70 years) emmetropes when viewing at far (6 m) and at individual near points (14.5 to 20.9 cm) in the younger group. With accommodation, lens thickness increased (mean ± 95% CI: 0.33 ± 0.06 mm) by a similar magnitude to the decrease in anterior chamber depth (0.31 ± 0.07 mm) and equatorial diameter (0.32 ± 0.04 mm) with a decrease in the radius of curvature of the posterior lens surface (0.58 ± 0.30 mm). Anterior lens surface shape could not be determined due to the overlapping region with the iris. Ciliary ring diameter decreased (0.44 ± 0.17 mm) with no decrease in circumlental space or forward ciliary body movement.

With aging, lens thickness increased (mean ± 95% CI: 0.97 ± 0.24 mm) similar in magnitude to the sum of the decrease in anterior chamber depth (0.45 ± 0.21 mm) and increase in anterior segment depth (0.52 ± 0.23 mm). Equatorial lens diameter increased (0.28 ± 0.23 mm) with no change in the posterior lens surface radius of curvature. Ciliary ring diameter decreased (0.57 ± 0.41 mm) with reduced circumlental space (0.43 ± 0.15 mm) and no forward ciliary body movement. Accommodative changes support the Helmholtz theory of accommodation including an increase in posterior lens surface curvature. Certain aspects of aging changes mimic accommodation.
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Ballone, 2003). The relatively modest effect is due in part to physiological and theoretical limits of performance, i.e., the limited (~1 D) dioptric power change per millimeter movement of a single optic lens (Dick, 2005; Ho, Manns, Pham, & Parel, 2006). Other methods of restoring larger amounts of accommodation being developed include novel IOLs (Synchrony Dual Optic IOL, Abbott Medical Optics, USA; NuLens, NuLens, Israel; and PowerVision IOL, PowerVision, USA), polymer refilling of the capsular bag (Koopmans, Terwee, Barkhof, Haitjema, & Kooijman, 2003; Koopmans et al., 2006), and extralenticular surgical procedures to increase the space between ciliary muscle and crystalline lens (PriaVision, USA). Currently, only limited restoration of accommodation following cataract surgery has been achieved, while the ultimate goal of restoring large amounts of accommodation in presbyopes without cataract (i.e., through clear lens extraction) is yet to be realized. A better understanding of the mechanism of accommodation and age-related changes in ocular structures involved in accommodation will help in developing and refining surgical procedures designed to restore accommodation in presbyopes. The current study characterizes the changes in the shape of the crystalline lens and location of the ciliary body with accommodation and age in normal human subjects.

Most previous in vivo studies on crystalline lens shape have utilized optical techniques such as catoptric and slit lamp imaging or ultrasound-based imaging. Optical techniques have been employed to study the shape of the crystalline lens as visible through the pupil (Atchison et al., 2008; Brown, 1973; Dubbelman & Van Der Heijde, 2001; Koretz, Bertasso, Neider, True-Gabelt, & Kaufman, 1987; Rosales & Marcos, 2006; Smith & Garner, 1996). Accurate characterization of lens thickness and posterior surface curvature using optical techniques could be influenced by changes in the anterior surface and refractive index distribution of the lens with accommodation and age (Dubbelman, Van Der Heijde, & Weeber, 2001). Ultrasound imaging techniques have been used for axial measurements of biometric distances within the eye and to image the lens periphery and ciliary body (Beers & Van Der Heijde, 1994a, 1994b; Ostrin & Glasser, 2007; Stachs et al., 2002; Vilupuru & Glasser, 2003). The advantages of ultrasound-based techniques are that the images are not affected by refractive effects due to changes in crystalline lens shape with accommodation or aging and the ability to image behind the iris. However, age-related variation in speed of sound in the crystalline lens is not fully understood (Atchison et al., 2008; Beers & Van Der Heijde, 1994a, 1994b; Koretz, Kaufman, Neider, &戈eckner, 1989). Ultrasound techniques also usually lack internal references or landmarks unless tattoos are used, as in some animal studies (Ostrin & Glasser, 2007). Whole lens in vivo imaging, including characterization of lens surfaces, has not been undertaken with optical (due to the presence of iris) or ultrasound (restricted field of view) techniques. In short, while past studies using optical or ultrasound techniques have provided reliable axial and central anterior lens surface characteristics, whole lens shapes including posterior surface characteristics have not been clearly described.

MRI is a non-optical imaging technique and does not require any assumptions concerning lens optical properties for dimensional measurements. Consequently, accommodative and age-related changes in the optical properties of the crystalline lens are not expected to create any distortions in the MR images of the lens. However, MRI is a relatively time-consuming technique and is of lower resolution compared to optical and ultrasound techniques. MRI has been used previously for ocular imaging to study overall eye shape, extraocular muscle anatomy, crystalline lens shape, refractive index distribution, and ciliary muscle anatomy (Atchison et al., 2008; Atchison & Smith, 2004; Demer et al., 2008; Jones, Atchison, & Pope, 2007; Kasthurirangan, Markwell, Atchison, & Pope, 2008; Strenk, Strenk, & Semmlow, 2000; Strenk, Semmlow, & DeMarco, 2004). We have previously described the dependence of refractive index distribution of the crystalline lens on accommodation and age using MRI (Jones et al., 2007; Kasthurirangan et al., 2008). Strenk et al. reported anterior chamber depth, crystalline lens diameter, thickness, surface area, and ciliary ring diameter as a function of age and accommodation using MRI (Strenk et al., 1999, 2000, 2004) but did not describe changes in curvature and shape of the lens surfaces with age and accommodation.

The aim of the current study was to use MRI to study changes in crystalline lens shape and ciliary body position with accommodation in 20- to 30-year-old subjects and with aging in 60- to 70-year-old subjects. Some of the results on crystalline lens shape from the present study have been reported previously (Atchison et al., 2008). The present report provides a complete description of the crystalline lens shape including previously unreported information on the asphericity of lens surfaces, measures of overall lens shape, and ciliary body position.

### Methods

#### Subjects

Subject demography and experimental setup are as described previously (Kasthurirangan et al., 2008). Only a brief description of the methods is provided here. Fifteen young and fifteen older subjects were recruited. Young subjects were between 19 and 29 years (mean ± 1 SD: 22.8 ± 3.1 years) and older subjects were between 60 and 70 years (mean ± 1 SD: 64.3 ± 3.2 years). All subjects had good ocular and general health. A preliminary examination confirmed emmetropia (±0.75 D sphere and ±0.50 D cylinder) with 6/6 distance visual acuity in the tested eye. The research followed the tenets of the Declaration of
Helsinki. The experimental protocol was approved by the Queensland University of Technology and Prince Charles Hospital Human Ethics Review Boards. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

MRI technique

Monocular MR images were obtained with a General Electric “Twin Speed” clinical MR scanner operating at a field strength of 1.5 Tesla (Signa Twin Speed; GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI). Subjects lay supine in the MR equipment with the head stabilized with foam pads (see Figure 1 of Kasthurirangan et al., 2008 for a schematic of the experimental setup). A 3.5 cm receive-only surface coil (Nova Medical, Wilmington, MA) was used to obtain high-resolution images from one eye of each subject in the transverse axial and sagittal planes. After obtaining a set of scout images to ensure eye alignment (see details in Experimental procedures section), a Fast Spin Echo (FSE) imaging sequence was used to obtain high-resolution images for dimensional measurements. The fast spin echo (FSE) imaging sequence was used to obtain high-resolution images for dimensional measurements within the eye. MR images were acquired with a 40 mm field of view and 3 mm slice thickness, an effective echo time TE = 19 ms, an echo train length of 4, 320 × 320 matrix size (interpolated to 512 × 512 pixel images), and a recycle time TR = 400 ms, giving a total image acquisition time of 2 min and 11 s. During the same session, another imaging sequence (Multi Spin Echo, MSE) was used for refractive index measurements as reported previously (Kasthurirangan et al., 2008).

Experimental procedures

In young subjects, MRI measurements were performed for far and near viewing, while in the older subjects MRI measurements were performed only for far viewing. The MRI eye coil, with a viewing hole in the middle, was placed in front of and as close as possible to the measured eye (without touching the skin or eyelashes) and clamped in place. A mirror tilted vertically by 45° was placed 10 cm above the eye. The subject looked through the mirror at the center of a 31 mm diameter spoke-wheel target on a wall 6.1 m away. The subject was instructed to look at the target during the measurements and to relax between measurements. The order of image acquisition was (1) a 16 s set of scout images, (2) an FSE image in the sagittal plane of the eye, (3) an FSE image in the transverse axial plane, (4) an MSE image in the sagittal plane, and (5) an MSE image in the transverse axial plane. If the eye appeared tilted in the sagittal scout images, the vertical tilt of the mirror was adjusted appropriately and another set of scout images was obtained. The transverse axial scout images were used to manually select the slice plane for the first sagittal FSE image to correspond with the geometrical axis of the crystalline lens. The sagittal FSE image was used to determine the slice for the next transverse axial FSE image, i.e., in the sequence mentioned above, each image was used to set up the axis for the next image.

In young subjects, MR images during near viewing were obtained while fixating on a spoke-wheel target placed in a mount in front of and as close as possible to the eye, so that it could still be seen clearly and comfortably. The near target was first removed from the mount to reveal a round hole in the mount. The subject was instructed to move the mount vertically and horizontally until the distant target appeared centered in the hole. The mount was locked in place, and the near target was replaced. In this manner, the near target was subjectively aligned with the distant target, to maintain similar gaze direction for far and near scans. The subject was instructed to look at the near target and keep it in focus. The range of near target distances for different subjects was 14.5 to 20.9 cm, which corresponds to 6.9 to 4.8 D of accommodative stimulus.

Data analysis

The MR images were analyzed with custom written software in Matlab (The MathWorks, Natick, MA). MR images during far and near viewing for a young subject and far viewing only for an older subject are shown in Figure 1. External and internal boundaries in the eye were identified using a Canny edge filter available in Matlab Image Processing Toolbox. The eye image was rotated to orient vertically with cornea above and posterior sclera below (Figure 1B). The angle of rotation was noted to check for any gaze deviations between far and near viewing in young subjects. Adequate performance of the eye rotation algorithm has been reported previously (Kasthurirangan et al., 2008).

A difficulty in the identification of crystalline lens pixels is that the iris obscures part of the anterior edge of the lens. Therefore, the user manually defined two regions on either side of the pupil around the region of contact between the iris and the lens. These regions were removed from further analysis. The remaining anterior and all of the posterior edge data of the lens were individually smoothed with a conic curve (Dubbelman & Van Der Heijde, 2001):

\[
y = \frac{c(x-x_0)^2}{1 + \sqrt{1-kc^2(x-x_0)^2}} + y_0,
\]

where \(x_0\) and \(y_0\) are the vertex positions, \(c\) is the curvature at the vertex, and \(k\) is the conic constant. This curve was used to obtain the curvature at vertex and the asphericity over 99% of the anterior (excluding iris-covered regions) and posterior surfaces of the lens.
Various biometric parameters were measured automatically from the MR images (see Figure 1B). These included the (1) anterior chamber depth (ACD: distance from the front of the cornea to anterior pole of the crystalline lens), (2) lens axial thickness (LT: distance between anterior and posterior poles of the lens), (3) anterior segment depth (ASD: distance from the front of the cornea to the posterior pole of the lens), (4) lens equatorial diameter (ED: distance between the equatorial edges of the lens), (5) lens surface curvatures and asphericity (obtained from conic curve fits over 99% of anterior and posterior lens surface data), (6) ratio of lens axial thickness to equatorial diameter as a metric of lens shape (LT/ED ratio), (7) ciliary ring diameter (distance between innermost ciliary body tips identified manually), (8) ciliary body depth (axial distance between anterior cornea and a line joining innermost ciliary body tips), and (9) axial length (distance between anterior cornea and posterior edge of the eye—note that the retina was not always clearly visible in the MR images and so the posterior edge of the eye was used). Axial length was measured along a geometric axis of the eye (Figure 1B). All measurements, except for ciliary ring diameter, were automatically performed. Statistical comparisons for accommodative trends were performed through paired t-tests and for age-related trends through unpaired t-tests. An α level of 0.05 was considered to be significant.

Lens thickness and axial length measured with MRI during relaxed accommodation were compared with A-scan ultrasound (Axis-II A-scan, Quantel Medical, USA) measurements during far fixation in the same eyes to evaluate the accuracy of MRI dimension measurements.

Results

Resolution and noise level

In general, the sagittal images were noisier than the transverse axial images. In order to quantitatively evaluate this difference, signal-to-noise ratio and the intensity gradient across the anterior edge of the crystalline lens were compared between sagittal and transverse axial images in the same eyes. Signal-to-noise ratio was calculated as the ratio of average pixel intensity within the crystalline lens (signal) and average pixel intensity anterior to the cornea (i.e., region with no ocular structures to calculate noise). The average signal-to-noise ratio was significantly larger in the transverse axial images than in the sagittal images (5.31 vs. 4.81, paired t-test, p < 0.01). Peak intensity gradient across the crystalline lens was calculated in the following manner: (1) the intensity...
gradient along five lines of pixels across the anterior lens surface from the anterior chamber into the lens was calculated, (2) an average of the peak intensity gradients from the five lines was calculated, and (3) average peak intensity gradient was considered as the intensity difference across the anterior lens surface. Average peak intensity gradient in the transverse axial images was 31% greater than in the sagittal images (paired t-test, \( p < 0.01 \)). The increased noise was mainly due to motion artifacts, most likely due to blinks, affecting the sagittal images more than the transverse axial images. Paired comparisons revealed statistically significant differences between sagittal and transverse axial images for some ocular biometric parameters. Since ocular measurements with transverse axial images show some differences from sagittal images and the transverse axial images were sharper with well defined edges compared to sagittal images, further results are presented for transverse axial data only.

The MR images had an in-plane resolution of 0.078 mm/pixel based on 40 mm field of view with image resolution of \( 512 \times 512 \) pixels. Profile plots of intensity change along the anterior lens surface showed that the edge consisted of two pixels of rising intensity (or gray values). As an upper estimate, the uncertainty in defining a surface edge was of one pixel length, i.e., one of the two pixels could be determined as the edge. The error in measuring intraocular lengths (i.e., distance between two surfaces) was, therefore, two pixels (one pixel error for each surface) or 0.156 mm. This suggests that the practical resolution of the MR images was 0.156 mm.

While the MRI technique was capable of imaging behind the iris, around the region of contact between the iris and anterior lens surface, the two structures could not be distinguished. This required removal of these data when fitting the anterior lens surface with conic curves. Examples of conic curve fits to the anterior and posterior lens surfaces are shown in Figures 2A and 2B for one eye of a young subject in the relaxed and accommodated states, respectively, and in Figure 2C for one eye of an older subject. For the anterior lens surface, considerable data were unavailable along the region of contact between the iris and the anterior lens surface. Therefore, the conic curve fits were unreliable and the results on the anterior lens surface vertex radius of curvature and asphericity were excluded from the study. The posterior surface conic curve fits were good with \( r^2 \) values greater than 0.95 and root mean square error of less than 0.1 mm for all fits.

### MRI versus A-scan measurements

MRI and appplanation A-scan measurements of axial ocular dimensions were compared in all eyes of young and old subjects for the relaxed accommodative state. MRI lens thickness measurements were significantly correlated to A-scan lens thickness measurements (MRI_LT = 0.89 * AScan_LT + 0.43, \( r^2 = 0.90, p < 0.01 \), regression not shown). The slope of the linear regression was marginally significantly different from 1 (\( p = 0.05 \)) and the intercept was not different from 0 (\( p = 0.08 \)). A paired t-test revealed no significant differences between MRI and A-scan measurements (mean ± SEM: 0.05 ± 0.036 mm; \( p = 0.22 \)). When the outlier (marked with a square in Figure 3A) was ignored in the regression analysis, the slope and intercept were not significantly different from 1 (\( p = 0.14 \)) and 0 (\( p = 0.17 \)), respectively, indicating good correspondence between MRI and A-scan measurements of anterior chamber depth. Bland–Altman analysis (Bland & Altman, 1986), i.e., difference between A-scan and MRI measurements plotted against the mean of A-scan and MRI measurements, showed no obvious trends in the data.

MRI axial length measurements were significantly correlated to A-scan axial length measurements (MRI_AL = 0.98 * AScan_AL + 1.37, \( r^2 = 0.89, p < 0.01 \), Figure 3B). The slope of the linear regression were not different from 1 (\( p = 0.71 \)) and 0 (\( p = 0.39 \)), respectively. In the MR images, the internal boundary of the retina was not always clearly visible, so axial length measurements were performed from the anterior border of the cornea to the posterior border of the eye, which would have resulted in an offset between A-scan and MRI axial length measurements. Paired differences showed that MRI axial length measurements were larger than A-scan length measurements by 0.79 ± 0.039 mm (mean ± SEM, \( p < 0.05 \)). The slope in Figure 3B is close to 1, indicating good correspondence between A-scan and MRI axial length measurements. Bland–Altman analysis (Bland & Altman, 1986) for axial length data indicated a mean difference of 0.79 mm and no other obvious trends in the data.

### Changes with age and accommodation

Ocular alignment during far viewing and near viewing in young subjects was checked by comparing eye rotation angle and axial lengths. No statistically significant differences were seen for eye rotation angle (paired t-test, \( p = 0.99 \)) or axial length (paired t-test, \( p = 0.13 \)) between unaccommodated and accommodated states. The average absolute difference in ocular alignment was 1.95 ± 1.99 degrees and absolute axial length difference was 0.13 ± 0.10 mm.
While there were some differences in eye rotation angle and axial lengths between unaccommodated and accommodated images in individual eyes, these differences were not systematic.

**Axial distances**

Anterior chamber depth decreased significantly with accommodation and age (Figure 4 and Table 1). On average, anterior chamber depth decreased 0.31 mm with accommodation (paired t-test, \( p < 0.05 \)) and 0.45 mm with age (unpaired t-test, \( p < 0.05 \)). Lens axial thickness increased 0.33 mm with accommodation (\( p < 0.05 \)) and 0.97 mm with age (\( p < 0.05 \); Table 1). The decrease in anterior chamber depth with accommodation was 92% of the increase in lens thickness, but the decrease in anterior chamber depth with age was only 46% of the increase in lens thickness. Consequently, anterior segment depth did not change significantly with accommodation (\( p = 0.31 \)) but increased significantly with age (mean change: 0.52 mm; \( p < 0.05 \)). The ciliary body depth did not change with accommodation (\( p = 0.41 \)) or with age (\( p = 0.71 \)).

**Equatorial distances**

Lens equatorial diameter decreased 0.32 mm with accommodation (paired t-test, \( p < 0.01 \)) and increased 0.28 mm with age (unpaired t-test, \( p < 0.05 \); Figure 5 and Table 1). As a measure of lens shape, the ratio of lens axial thickness to equatorial diameter (LT/ED) was calculated (Table 1). This ratio (LT/ED) increased with accommodation (mean change: 0.05; \( p < 0.01 \), Table 1) and age (mean change: 0.09; \( p < 0.01 \)). An increase in LT/ED suggests that the whole lens became more rounded.
with accommodation and age. With accommodation, the decrease in lens equatorial diameter was 98% of the increase in lens axial thickness. With age, the increase in lens equatorial diameter was only 29% of the increase in lens axial thickness. Therefore, although the relative changes in lens thickness and equatorial diameter were different between accommodation and age, the lens assumed a more rounded shape in either case. The ciliary ring diameter decreased with both accommodation (mean change: 0.44 mm; \( p < 0.05 \)) and age (mean change: 0.57 mm; \( p < 0.05 \); Figure 5 and Table 1). The circum-lental space, the distance from the equatorial edge of the lens to the ciliary body tip, did not change with accommodation (1.07 vs. 1.02 mm; \( p = 0.13 \)) but decreased significantly with age (1.07 vs. 0.65 mm; mean change: 0.43 mm; \( p < 0.05 \)).

### Posterior lens surface curvature and asphericity

The vertex radius of curvature of the posterior lens surface decreased with accommodation (mean change: 0.58 mm; \( p < 0.01 \)) but not with age (\( p = 0.21 \); Table 1). The conic constant of the posterior lens surface did not change with accommodation (\( p = 0.98 \); Table 1) but increased, becoming more spherical (i.e., closer to a \( k \) value of 1), with age (mean change: 0.87; \( p < 0.01 \)).

### Summary of the results

Table 1 provides the mean values of the various biometric parameters measured in the study. Figures 6A and 6B show the changes in lens dimensions with accommodation and age, respectively, using actual measured average dimensions. The data points in the figure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Young far Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Young near Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Older far Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>22.32 (3.39)</td>
<td>22.32 (3.39)</td>
<td>63.61* (3.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial length (mm)</td>
<td>24.27 (0.79)</td>
<td>24.20 (0.76)</td>
<td>24.36 (0.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior chamber depth (mm)</td>
<td>3.69 (0.29)</td>
<td>3.38* (0.30)</td>
<td>3.24* (0.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens thickness (mm)</td>
<td>3.69 (0.25)</td>
<td>4.02* (0.27)</td>
<td>4.66* (0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior segment depth (mm)</td>
<td>7.38 (0.28)</td>
<td>7.40 (0.28)</td>
<td>7.90* (0.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciliary body depth (mm)</td>
<td>4.66 (0.29)</td>
<td>4.64 (0.30)</td>
<td>4.70 (0.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens equatorial diameter (mm)</td>
<td>9.03 (0.30)</td>
<td>8.71* (0.29)</td>
<td>9.31* (0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens thickness/equatorial diameter</td>
<td>0.41 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.46* (0.04)</td>
<td>0.50* (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciliary ring diameter (mm)</td>
<td>11.18 (0.54)</td>
<td>10.76* (0.59)</td>
<td>10.61* (0.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior surface radius of curvature (mm)</td>
<td>-5.66 (1.00)</td>
<td>-5.08* (0.71)</td>
<td>-6.08 (0.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior surface conic constant ( k )</td>
<td>0.22 (0.64)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.57)</td>
<td>1.09* (0.44)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Mean (\( \pm \text{SD} \)) values for biometric parameters measured from transverse axial MR images for young subjects during far and near viewing and older subjects during far viewing. Statistically significant differences from young far viewing data for each parameter are indicated with **".**
**Discussion**

The MRI technique was successfully employed to study changes in crystalline lens shape with age and accommodation. The crystalline lens became thicker and more spherical in shape with both accommodation and age. However, equatorial diameter of the crystalline lens decreased with accommodation and increased with age. A significant change in the posterior surface radius of curvature was seen with accommodation. Age- and accommodation-related changes in crystalline lens and ciliary muscle position are discussed in detail below.

**MRI accuracy and resolution**

The resolution of the MRI technique was not as high as optical (<10 μm) or ultrasound techniques (~100 μm with conventional ultrasound biometer or 2 μm with continuous high-resolution biometer; De Vries, Van Der Heijde, & Goovaerts, 1987; Drexler, Baumgartner, Findl, Hitzenberger, & Fercher, 1997). An important advantage of MRI is the unique ability to image whole lens and adnexa in vivo, with ~100 μm resolution. With blinks and eye movements, it was possible in this study to detect changes of about 156 μm (see Resolution and noise level section). One of the aims of the study was to describe the three-dimensional shape of the lens by obtaining images along sagittal and transverse axial sections. Unfortunately, the sagittal images were noisier than the transverse axial images, possibly due to eye movements and blinks. In the interest of accuracy, only results from the transverse axial images have been provided. At the region of contact between the iris and the anterior crystalline lens surface, the two surfaces were indistinguishable leading to exclusion of the anterior lens surface data from further analysis (Figure 2). The radius of curvature and asphericity values for the posterior lens surface alone are provided.

**Axial distances**

As reported previously, anterior chamber depth decreased with accommodation and age and the lens thickness increased with accommodation and age (see Figure 4 and Table 1; Atchison et al., 2008; Bolz, Prinz, Drexler, & Findl, 2007; Cook, Koretz, Pfahnl, Hyun, & Kaufman, 1994; Drexler et al., 1997; Dubbelman et al., 2001; Dubbelman, Van Der Heijde, & Weeber, 2005; Garner & Yap, 1997; Hermans et al., 2009; Jones et al., 2007; Kashima, Trus, Unser, Edwards, & Datiles, 1993; Kasthurirangan et al., 2008; Koeppl, Findl, Kriechbaum, & Drexler, 2005; Koretz, Cook, & Kaufman, 1997; Koretz et al., 1989; Koretz, Strenk, Strenk, & Semmlow, 2004;
Ostrin, Kasthurirangan, Win-Hall, & Glasser, 2006; Richdale, Bullimore, & Zadnik, 2008; Strenk et al., 1999; Tsorbatzoglou, Nemeth, Szell, Biro, & Berta, 2007). The anterior segment depth did not change with accommodation but increased with age (Figure 4). With accommodation, the decrease in anterior chamber depth (0.31 mm) was similar to the increase in lens thickness (0.33 mm), leading to no change in anterior segment depth. A drawback of the current study was the inability to measure accommodative response in diopters during MR imaging. However, an increase in lens thickness of 0.33 mm would correspond to an accommodative response of 4.92 D using the lens thickness change to accommodation ratio of 0.067 mm/D reported by Ostrin et al. (2006). The mean accommodative changes reported in the current study correspond to about 5 D of response accommodation. With aging, the increase in lens thickness (0.97 mm or 0.02 mm/year) was twice the decrease in anterior chamber depth (0.45 mm or 0.01 mm/year) and twice the increase in anterior segment length (0.52 mm or 0.01 mm/year; Atchison et al., 2008).

Some previous studies have reported that anterior segment depth increases with accommodation (Bolz et al., 2007; Drexler et al., 1997; Dubbelman et al., 2005; Ostrin et al., 2006). Koeppl et al. (2005) did not find this with partial coherence interferometry although two other studies using the same technique did find an increase (Bolz et al., 2007; Drexler et al., 1997). The increase in anterior segment depth reported in these studies, for ~5 D accommodation, ranged from 0.04 to 0.09 mm. A possible reason for the lack of significant change in anterior segment depth in the present study could be due to the resolution limits of the MRI technique (0.156 mm) as the expected changes are only 0.1 mm or less. Another plausible reason, given the similar magnitude of change in anterior chamber depth and lens thickness, could be the supine posture of the subjects compared to the erect posture of subjects in past studies (personal communication with Dr. Adrian Glasser). In a supine posture, the crystalline lens may sag to its deepest position due to the effect of gravity, even in the unaccommodated state. Therefore, with accommodation, no further backward movement could have been possible. In the present study, anterior chamber and segment depths measured in erect posture using an A-scan ultrasound were significantly smaller than the supine MRI measured values, with mean differences (paired t-tests; p < 0.05) of 0.12 and 0.11 mm, respectively. However, lens thickness was not different between erect and supine measurements (paired t-test; p = 0.22). The difference between MRI and A-scan measured anterior segment depths is similar to the expected accommodative change (up to 0.10 mm). This lends support to the idea that erect vs. supine posture of the subjects may determine whether or not anterior segment depth changes during accommodation. It is of interest to evaluate this effect of lens position during erect and supine postures and with accommodation in a future study.
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Figure 6. Changes in crystalline lens and ciliary body apex with (A) accommodation and (B) age. In (A), the corneal apex is fixed at “0” for unaccommodated (filled circle and solid lines in blue) and accommodated (open square and dashed lines in red) conditions. The data points are mean ± 1 SEM values and the lines indicating lens surfaces are based on mean radii of curvature and conic constants. In (B), data for older subjects (open square and dashed lines in red) are plotted using the same scheme as (A). The overall changes in lens size and shape can be observed in the figures. The anterior lens surface could not be well fitted with conic curves due to missing data at the region of iris overlap. The anterior pole of the crystalline lens moved forward with accommodation and aging. The ciliary body apex moved inward with accommodation and age, but no forward movement in either case was observed.
A significant change in posterior lens surface radius of curvature was seen with accommodation. Therefore, although there is no posterior movement of the posterior pole of the lens in this study (i.e., no change in anterior segment depth), the posterior surface of the lens actively participated in the accommodative process.

Equatorial lens diameter and shape

Similar to previous reports, the equatorial diameter of the lens decreased with accommodation (Glasser, Wendt, & Ostrin, 2006; Strenk et al., 1999; Wendt, Croft, McDonald, Kaufman, & Glasser, 2008; Figure 5 and Table 1). In the current study, the decrease in equatorial diameter (0.32 mm) was equivalent to the increase in axial thickness of the lens (0.33 mm). In addition, the ratio of crystalline lens thickness to diameter increased, approaching 0.5, indicating that the crystalline lens became more rounded with accommodation. It is interesting to note the similarity in magnitude of the change in lens axial thickness and equatorial diameter for a certain magnitude of accommodative response, suggesting that the changes in the two parameters per diopter of accommodation may also be equivalent.

A significant increase in crystalline lens diameter with age was seen. The change in equatorial diameter (0.28 mm, 0.007 mm/year) was only 1/3 of the increase in crystalline lens thickness (0.97 mm) with age. Previous reports have shown no change in crystalline lens equatorial diameter with age in humans (Strenk et al., 1999) or Rhesus monkeys (Wendt et al., 2008). In the present study, mean crystalline lens diameters in two groups of subjects separated in age by about 40 years were compared. In past reports, linear regression analysis was undertaken to study age-related changes in lens diameter (Strenk et al., 1999; Wendt et al., 2008). The magnitude of the changes reported in the present study could have been missed in past studies using regression analysis, due to the wide individual variation and lack of sufficient subjects in clearly delineated age groups. A potential confounding factor leading to the observed age-related changes in equatorial diameter in the current study could have been the level of tonic accommodation in the younger subjects even when a far target was used to relax accommodation. This and previous studies measured unaccommodated lens diameter (Schachar et al., 2007). Schachar suggested that lens central thickness to lens equatorial diameter ratio of ≤0.60 is commonly seen in animals that have the capacity to accommodate (Schachar, Pierscionek, Abolmaali, & Le, 2007). Schachar suggested that lens central thickness to lens equatorial diameter ratio of ≤0.60 is commonly seen in animals that have the capacity to accommodate (Schachar et al., 2007). In the current study, while the LT/ED ratio of the crystalline lens increases with age (0.50 at 65 years of age compared to 0.41 at 22 years), it is still within the limits seen for accommodating animal species (i.e., ≤0.60). Interestingly, the LT/ED ratio of the older lenses (0.50) is similar to the fully accommodated young crystalline lens (0.46), suggesting that there may be some decrease in accommodative functionality due to lens growth. The relatively spherical shape of the unaccommodated crystalline lens in older subjects and any associated changes with age in the geometric relationship between ciliary muscle and lens (Koretz & Handelman, 1988; Strenk, Strenk, & Koretz, 2005) may contribute to a faster decline in accommodative amplitude with the progression of presbyopia, even though the ultimate cause may still be increased lens stiffness (Atchison, 1995; Fisher, 1973; Glasser & Campbell, 1999; Heys, Cram, & Truscott, 2004; van Alphen & Graebel, 1991; Weeber et al., 2005).

Ciliary body movement

Only a few studies have reported ciliary body/ciliary muscle movement with accommodation and age, especially in humans. In the current study, no forward movement of the ciliary body was observed with accommodation or aging (Table 1). The lack of forward movement of the ciliary body with accommodation is in accordance with two studies in humans (Baikoff, Lutun, Wei, & Ferraz, 2004; Strenk, Strenk, & Guo, 2010), while ultrasound imaging in humans and Rhesus monkeys have shown forward movement of the ciliary muscle apex with accommodation (Croft et al., 2006; Stachs et al., 2002). An MRI study in humans showed a more forward positioning of the ciliary muscle with age (0.009 mm/year; Strenk et al., 2010), which was not seen in the current study. It is likely that the lack of any measurable forward ciliary movement during accommodation or with age in the current study was due to the limited resolution of our MRI technique (0.156 mm).

Centripetal movement (i.e., decrease in ciliary ring diameter) was observed with accommodation and aging similar to previous studies (Baikoff et al., 2004; Strenk et al., 1999; Strenk, Strenk, & Guo, 2006; Figure 5 and Table 1). The circumlental space, the space between lens equatorial edge and ciliary body tip, did not change with accommodation and decreased with age as shown.
Previously in humans (Strenk et al., 2006) and Rhesus monkeys (Glasser, Croft, Brumback, & Kaufman, 2001). In young Rhesus monkeys, the circumlental space has been shown to remain stable or decrease only slightly during Edinger-Westphal (EW) nucleus stimulated accommodation, while significant changes were observed during supramaximal or pharmacological (e.g., carbachol) stimulation of accommodation (Ostrin & Glasser, 2007). The lack of changes in circumlental space in young humans in the current study may be because the ciliary muscle effort was within the maximum accommodative amplitude of the subjects.

Circumlental space decreased by 40% over the 40-year age gap between young and older subjects. This decrease was due to a combination of increase in lens equatorial diameter (0.28 mm) and decrease in ciliary ring diameter (0.57 mm) leading to 0.43 mm decrease in circumlental space. Following cataract surgery, the ciliary body has been shown to move outward (i.e., toward its position in young humans; Strenk et al., 2010). This observation, along with the findings of the current study, suggests that the axial growth of the lens with age may increase the natural tension in the anterior zonular fibers during relaxed accommodation, in turn exerting an inward pull on the ciliary body leading to a reduction in circumlental space. This force may be partly or fully released following cataract surgery due to the removal of lens material and collapse of the capsular bag. The magnitude of the repositioning of the ciliary muscle following cataract surgery will be quite informative in determining the success of accommodating IOLs.

**Lens surface curvature and asphericity**

The objective of the current study was to describe the overall biometric shape of the lens surface and not a central optically relevant region. All previous studies on the lens surface shape had considered only a central zone and are not directly comparable to the current study. With accommodation, the radius of curvature of the posterior lens surface decreased as reported previously with a variety of optical methods in humans and Rhesus monkeys (Brown, 1973; Dubbelman et al., 2005; Garner & Yap, 1997; Kirschkamp, Dunne, & Barry, 2004; Koretz et al., 1987; Koretz, Cook, & Kaufman, 2002; Rosales, Dubbelman, Marcos, & van der Heijde, 2006; Rosales, Wendt, Marcos, & Glasser, 2008; Table 1). The conic constant of the posterior surface did not change with accommodation (Table 1). Previous studies were based on optical techniques and it was not clear if the observed changes in the posterior lens surface were due to any optical artifacts when measuring through an accommodating lens. The current study has used MRI to demonstrate clear changes in the posterior lens surface during accommodation using a non-optical imaging technique. The anterior lens surface curvature could not be reliably measured in the current study primarily due to the loss of data at the region of contact between the iris and anterior lens surface.

With age, the radius of curvature of the posterior lens surface did not change and the conic constant increased. The trends in radius of curvature are largely supported by literature (Atchison et al., 2008; Brown, 1973; Koretz et al., 2004), with only two studies showing some decrease in posterior lens radius with age (Dubbelman & Van Der Heijde, 2001; Koretz, Cook, & Kaufman, 2001). The mean posterior lens radius of curvature of 6.08 mm is at the lower end of past reports of 5.6 mm to 7.7 mm (Atchison et al., 2008; Brown, 1973; Dubbelman & Van Der Heijde, 2001; Koretz et al., 2004). Dubbelman et al. (2001) reported no changes in the conic constant of the posterior surface with age. It is difficult to compare the findings of the current study directly with Dubbelman et al.’s Scheimpflug measurements because of the differences in lens zone diameter considered and the potential influence of the optical technique on posterior lens surface measurements. The current study shows that the posterior lens surface becomes more spherical with age.

**Mechanism of accommodation**

The various changes in the crystalline lens identified during accommodation are shown in Figure 6A based on the mean data from Table 1. During accommodation, the anterior chamber depth decreases, and the crystalline lens increases in thickness and decreases in diameter with a reduction in the radius of curvature of the posterior lens surface. The increase in lens thickness is equal to the decrease in anterior chamber depth with no change in anterior segment depth. The decrease in equatorial diameter is equal in magnitude to the increase in lens thickness. The ciliary body moves inward without any forward movement, while the circumlental space remains unchanged. The lenticular findings strongly support the Helmholtz theory of accommodation with clear demonstration of the role of the posterior surface during accommodation. The lack of any decrease in circumlental space for maximum accommodation, combined with past reports of a decrease in circumlental space with supramaximal stimulation (Ostrin & Glasser, 2007), suggests that maximum accommodation in conscious young humans is achieved with ciliary muscle effort in reserve, supporting the Hess–Gullstrand theory, which is that the amount of ciliary muscle contraction required for a given change in accommodation response remains the same throughout life (Atchison, 1995; Eskridge, 1984; Gullstrand, 1924).

**Mechanism of presbyopia**

The various changes in the crystalline lens with age are shown in Figure 6B using mean data from Table 1.
Age-related crystalline lens growth leads to a decrease in anterior chamber depth, an increase in lens thickness three times more than the increase in lens diameter, and no change in the vertex radius of curvature of the posterior lens surface. The increase in lens thickness manifests as a similar decrease in anterior chamber depth and an increase in anterior segment depth. Since lens thickness increases significantly more than the lens diameter, the ratio of thickness to diameter increases with age (approaching 0.50). The posterior lens asphericity approached “1,” i.e., toward spherical surfaces. The ciliary body moves inward with no forward movement.

A majority of the age-related lenticular changes and the ciliary body position mimic accommodative changes suggesting that the decline in accommodative amplitude with presbyopia may be accelerated by age-related changes in lens shape and ciliary body position (i.e., inward movement). A recent study reported that the ciliary body undergoes a centrifugal movement following cataract surgery (Strenk et al., 2010) presumably due to the release of inward forces on the ciliary body after removal of a cataractous and presbyopic crystalline lens. Such a change, if consistently demonstrated, will increase the promise of presbyopia reversal procedures in restoring useful accommodation. An important outcome of this study is the age-related normative values given in Table 1, which will help in planning accommodating IOL designs and presbyopia reversal procedures to better suit the accommodative anatomy of older patients undergoing cataract surgery to maximize accommodative potential.
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